

NUTRITIONAL EFFICACY OF *CENTELLA ASIATICA* SUPPLEMENTED DIET ON *MACROBRACHIUM ROSENBERGII*



Salini.M.P*, Antony, A., Akhila Thomas and Jasmine Anand

Fisheries Biotechnology unit, Dept.of Zoology, Fatima Mata National College, Kollam, Kerala

*Email: salinimpsalini@gmail.com.

Received on: 10 October 2013, accepted on: 12 December 2013

Abstract: Aquaculture is under the threat of diseases outbreaks due to intensive culture. Various biotic and abiotic factors within intensive culture systems of *M. rosenbergii* challenge the health of cultured populations. Failure to control them leads to feeble even disastrous production losses. Nutritive feed along with antimicrobial property is effective against microbial diseases. Numerous medicinal plants are currently being used as feed additive in aquaculture. The present study was undertaken to assess the nutritional efficacy of medicinal plant *Centella asiatica* as a aquafeed supplementation. The effect of feed supplementation -250mg, 500mg, 750mg of methanolic extracts of medicinal plants were incorporated per kilogram of basal feed and was assessed at two levels of feeding schedule. One set of prawns were fed medicinal extract supplemented diet daily. The second sets of prawns were administrated supplemented diet twice a week. Each experimental set had 20 prawns /1000L tanks. The experiment continued for 60 days. Samples are collected for processing on 30th and 60th days. Daily removal of 50% of water and fecaces were done. The parameters such as % survival, weight gain(g), length gain(cm), feed conversion ratio(FCR), specific feed consumption(SFC)and protein efficiency ratio(PER) were checked for each treatment. In addition to that microbial load at non-specific immune sites such as gut, exoskeleton and gill were also checked. Results of 500mg/kg (daily administration) treated prawns revealed a notable improvement comparable to controls. The parameters assessed for 250mg/kg (daily administration) feed schedule expressed better feed utilization and growth compared to 250mg/kg (twice a week administration).the antimicrobial efficacy of the feed was evaluated to substantiate the nutritional status in terms of growth efficacy of the experimental prawns. The microbial load at exoskeleton, gut and gill was recorded as cfu/gm tissue. The antimicrobial data was better for the daily treated prawns compared to twice a week treatments. The observations have been statistically analyzed and discussed in the view of available current literature.

Key words: *M. rosenbergii*, *Centella asiatica*, Feed conversion ratio, Specific feed consumption, Protein efficiency ratio, Medicinal plant

INTRODUCTION

Aquaculture is the most progressive food sector in the world and it is the major source of income and dietary protein in most of the developed countries, especially Asian countries. Unscientific and irregular intensive culture will leads to the production loss at high level. Disease progresses more rapidly and results in higher cumulative mortality, in particular at early stages of development and especially shellfish (Tommy *et al.*, 2013).

Freshwater prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* is one of the best candidates of cultural importance. Vietnam, China, Taiwan, Bangladesh, Thailand and India are the major countries of prawn farming. Fresh water prawn is also good for polyculture, along with other farmed fishes. *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* lives in freshwater, that are influenced by nearby

brackish water, because it's larval life needs it. *Salmonella sp.*, *Shigella sp.*, *Staphylococcus auerus*, *Vibrio sp.*, *Flavobacterium sp.* are the main microbes found in the penaeids (Abu Hena *et al.*, 2008). Antibiotic cannot be used as a long term remedy. Drug resistance is an off shoot of antibiotic application. Oxytetracycline Hcl, Sulfamerazine, Sulfadimethozine Ormetoprim combination, Tricaine Methanesulfonate (Ms-222) are some of the antibiotics commonly used in aquaculture (Charles, 2002). Biomagnification of antibiotics is dangerous to human also.

Certain ayurvedic herbal compounds are having potent effect on growth and survival as well as antimicrobial properties in aquatic organisms. Numerous herbal products are added as feed additives in aquaculture

traditionally. *Withania somnifera*, *Mucuna pruriens*, *Myristica malabarica*, *Mimosa pudica*, *Ipomea digitata*, *Asparagus racemosus* Leaves, *Hygrophila spinosa*, *Phasedus roxburghii* *Moringa tinctoria* and *Hemidermus indicus* are some of the traditionally used plants in aquaculture (Sankar Murthy and Kiran, 2013). In the present study *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* is supplemented with diet containing *Centella asiatica* at selected concentrations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental lay out

Macrobrachium rosenbergii of size (12.4 ± 0.8 mg) were purchased from ADAK (Agency for Development of Aquaculture (ADAK), Varkala, Kerala. Acclimated in lab under optimum salinity, pH and temperature.

Experimental tanks were set with proper aeration and water quality (Trivedi and Goel, 1984). Each experiment was done in triplicates. Experiment was conducted for 60 days. Feeding scheduled twice a day.

Feed preparation

Feed was prepared by using the ingredients listed in Table 1. The methanol extract of *Centella asiatica* was obtained by extracting 50g of dried plant powder in 100ml 80% methanol and kept on a rotary shaker for 48 hours. The extract pooled after 24hrs and 48 hrs as vacuum filtered, and dried in an oven at 40 °C and was stored at 4 °C in airtight bottles till further use. (Deshmukhe and Borle, 1975).

Table 2. Rearing conditions and water quality parameters of the experimental setup

| Parameters | mean \pm SD |
|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Stocking density | 20 (per tank) |
| Tank capacity | 1000 L |
| Feeding level | 10-15% body weight |
| Feeding frequency | Twice daily |
| Feeding period | 60 days |
| Temperature | $28 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ |
| pH | 7.05 ± 0.4 |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 4 mg/l |

1. Growth parameters and Feed utilization parameters

Growth parameters and Feed utilization parameters were evaluated as outlined in one of our previous works by (Jasmine *et al.*, 2011).

2. Microbial load

Microbial loads at non-specific immune sites such as exoskeleton, gut and gill were processed and analysed as per the protocol described by (Kannan, 2003). The bacterial loads are reported as cfu/gm tissue.

3. Statistical analysis

Data were statistically analysed, mean and standard deviation. Level of significance were also found.

Table 1. Ingredients of experimental feed

| Ingredients (gm/kg) | Control | Test diet 1 | Test diet 3 | Test diet 5 |
|-----------------------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| • Fish Meal | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| • Prawn Head | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| • Squid Meal waste | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| • Squilla | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| • Soyabean Meal | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 |
| • Wheat Flour | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 |
| • Fish Oil | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| • Vitamin Mineral Mixture | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| • <i>Centell asiatica</i> extract | - | 250 | 500 | 750 |

Test diet 2, Test diet 4 and Test diet 6 have same concentrations of *Centella asiatica* as Test diet 1, Test diet 2 and Test diet 5 respectively. Only the feeding schedule is different. Test diet 2, Test diet 4 and Test diet 6 have twice a week administration of experimental feed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 3 showing the growth parameters of the experimental prawns. Test diet 3 have high survival rate. it is daily administration of 500mg/kg of *Curcuma longa* followed by Test diet 2. The least survival rate is found in Control diet. Weight gain also high in Test diet 3 followed by Test diet 1 and then Test diet 2. Least were found in Control diet. In the case of length gain is high in Test diet 1, it is a daily administration of 250 mg/kg of *Curcuma longa*. Least length gain is found in Control diet. These values are significant at ($p>0.01$)

Table 4 shows the feed utilization parameters of experimental prawns. FCR is high Test diet 2 followed by Test diet 4 and then least FCR were found in Test diet 1. Test diet 3 have better SFC. Least SFC value were found in Control diet. PER is high in Test diet 3, followed by Test diet 5. Least PER were found in Control diet. These values are significant at ($p>0.05$)

Table 5 and 6 showed the microbial load at certain non-specific immune sites such as

exoskeleton, gut and gill of *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. Here the microbial load is high in Test diet 3 followed by Test diet 1 and then test diet 3. More microbial load was found in daily medication. Twice a week feeding showed less microbial reduction. Microbial population at non-specific immune sites showed marginal variations. Here least reduction is found in the test diet 4. All of these values showed that daily medication of *Centella asiatica* is better. Test diet 3 with 500mg/kg of *Centella asiatica* showed good effects as compared to other test diets.

Centella asiatica is traditional medicinal plants. Which is indigenous to most of the Asian countries. They numerous properties such as antimicrobial, antifungal, antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties. Now a day's application of herbal medicines is high as feed additives. These will reduce the application of antibiotics against microbial diseases. Herbal extracts can be used in fish culture as alternatives to vaccines, antibiotics or chemotherapeutic agents. They work together with nutrients and dietary fibre to protect the body against diseases (Pepsi *et al.*, 2012).

Table 3. Growth parameters of *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* supplemented with *centella asiatica*

| Sample | % Survival | | Weight gain(g) | | Length gain(cm) | |
|--------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 30 th | 60 th | 30 th | 60 th | 30 th | 60 th |
| Control diet | 75±0.10 | 30±1.00 | 0.26±0.02 | 0.47±0.01 | 0.28±0.01 | 1.93±0.04 |
| Test diet 1 | 88±0.58 | 80±1.00 | 1.00±0.03 | 2.12±0.02 | 2.10±0.01 | 3.60±0.02 |
| Test diet 2 | 84±1.52 | 82±1.53 | 1.10±0.01 | 2.01±0.01 | 2.49±0.01 | 3.01±0.01 |
| Test diet 3 | 87±1.00 | 85±1.00 | 2.00±0.02 | 2.80±0.01 | 2.85±0.02 | 3.08±0.02 |
| Test diet 4 | 87±2.31 | 71±1.00 | 1.09±0.02 | 1.91±0.02 | 2.32±0.28 | 2.8±0.02 |
| Test diet 5 | 82±1.00 | 78±1.53 | 1.08±0.02 | 2.09±0.02 | 2.29±0.01 | 3.00±0.02 |
| Test diet 6 | 87±1.53 | 80±2.00 | 0.40±0.02 | 2.01±0.01 | 0.98±0.02 | 2.06±0.02 |

Table 4. Feed utilization parameters *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* supplemented with *centella asiatica*

| Sample | Feed Conversion Ratio(FCR) | | Specific Feed Consumption(SFC) | | Protein Efficiency Ratio(PER) | |
|--------------|----------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| | 30 th | 60 th | 30 th | 60 th | 30 th | 60 th |
| Control diet | 2.27±0.25 | 7.01±0.01 | 1.07±0.01 | 0.50±0.10 | 0.13±0.06 | 0.38±0.01 |
| Test diet 1 | 2.56±0.05 | 5.97±0.15 | 2.45±0.03 | 1.01±0.01 | 1.02±0.01 | 3.04±0.05 |
| Test diet 2 | 3.80±0.02 | 9.00±0.02 | 2.03±0.05 | 1.78±0.03 | 1.38±0.00 | 3.15±0.01 |
| Test diet 3 | 3.00±0.02 | 3.09±0.02 | 1.99±0.02 | 2.10±0.02 | 3.03±0.00 | 4.02±0.00 |
| Test diet 4 | 3.43±0.16 | 7.63±0.15 | 1.65±0.01 | 0.91±0.01 | 1.02±0.00 | 2.01±0.00 |
| Test diet 5 | 7.00±1.00 | 5.67±1.52 | 0.21±0.01 | 0.80±0.02 | 3.01±0.00 | 3.82±0.00 |
| Test diet 6 | 6.67±1.53 | 7.00±1.73 | 0.20±0.03 | 0.81±0.01 | 0.89±0.01 | 1.02±0.00 |

Table 5. Microbial load at certain non-specific immune sites such as exoskeleton,gill and gut of *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* reared on daily supplementation of *Centella asiatica*.

| | Control diet | | | Test diet 1 | | | Test diet 3 | | | Test diet 5 | | |
|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | Exo* | Gut | Gill | Exo* | Gut | Gill | Exo* | Gut | Gill | Exo* | Gut | Gill |
| 1 st | 120x10 ⁵ | 112x10 ⁵ | 118x10 ⁵ | 150x10 ⁵ | 120x10 ⁵ | 128x10 ⁵ | 120x10 ⁵ | 100x10 ⁵ | 118x10 ⁵ | 120x10 ⁵ | 110x10 ⁵ | 130x10 ⁵ |
| 30 th | 115x10 ⁵ | 124x10 ⁵ | 120x10 ⁵ | 99x10 ³ | 84x10 ³ | 78x10 ³ | 88x10 ² | 72x10 ² | 60x10 ² | 100x10 ⁴ | 80x10 ³ | 90x10 ³ |
| 60 th | 170x10 ⁵ | 140x10 ⁵ | 148x10 ⁵ | 180 | 185 | 138 | 170 | 160 | 156 | 200 | 194 | 306 |

Table 6. Microbial load at certain non-specific immune sites such as exoskeleton,gill and gut of *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* reared on twice a week supplementation of *Centella asiatica*.

| | Control diet | | | Test diet 2 | | | Test diet 4 | | | Test diet 6 | | |
|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | Exo* | Gut | Gill | Exo* | Gut | Gill | Exo* | Gut | Gill | Exo* | Gut | Gill |
| 1 st | 120x10 ⁵ | 112x10 ⁵ | 118x10 ⁵ | 150x10 ⁵ | 120x10 ⁵ | 138x10 ⁵ | 108x10 ⁵ | 99x10 ⁵ | 120x10 ⁵ | 128x10 ⁵ | 110x10 ⁵ | 120x10 ⁵ |
| 30 th | 115x10 ⁵ | 124x10 ⁵ | 120x10 ⁵ | 100x10 ³ | 89x10 ³ | 28x10 ³ | 98x10 ⁴ | 88x10 ⁴ | 38x10 ⁴ | 99x10 ³ | 89x10 ³ | 82x10 ³ |
| 60 th | 170x10 ⁵ | 140x10 ⁵ | 148x10 ⁵ | 190 | 195 | 148 | 20x10 ² | 380 | 18x10 ² | 184 | 450 | 12x10 ² |

Jagtap *et al.* (2009) deals with the evaluation of extract of plant of *Centella asiatica* for antimicrobial activity. As per data obtained the growth of inhibition of four bacterial strains and three fungal strains varied largely. *Centella asiatica* is traditionally used as antibacterial, antifungal and antioxidant properties. A work by Debajit and Jagat (2012) revealed the ethnomedicinal, antibacterial and Antifungal Potentiality of *Centella asiatica*. *Zingiber officinalis* and *Cyanodon dactylon* showed growth promotion in *macrobrachium rosenbergii* (HiamEl-Desouky *et al.*, 2012).

CONCLUSIONS

From the present work it is evident that *Centella asiatica* have better antibacterial effect also can be used as a growth promoter. Daily administration of *centella asiatica* is more effective than twice a week application. In the daily administration 500 mg/kg of *Centella asiatica* is more better which have more growth promotion, feed utilization and antibacterial properties compared with other experimental diets.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the Head of Institution of Fatima Mata National College and Head of the Department of Zoology of Fatima Mata National College for providing facilities for carry out the work.

REFERENCES

- Abau Hena Muhammad Yousuf, Md. Kawser Ahmed, Sabina Yeasmin, Nazmul Ahsan, Md. Mujibur Rahman and Md. Monirul Islam. 2008. Prevalence of Microbial Load in Shrimp, *Penaeus monodon* and Prawn, *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* from Bangladesh. *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 4 (S): 852-855.
- Charles, M., Benbrook. 2002. Antibiotic Drug Use in U.S. Aquaculture Antibiotic use in Aquaculture. IATP Report.
- Debajit kalita and Jagat Saikia. 2012. International journal of phytomedicine. Ethnomedicinal, Antibacterial and Antifungal Potentiality of *Centella asiatica*, *Nerium indicum* and *Cuscuta reflexa* - Widely Used In Tiwa Tribe of Morigaon district of Assam, India. 4(3).
- Deshmukhe and Borle, M.N. 1975. Studies on the insecticidal properties of indigenous plant products. *Ind. J. Ent.*, 35(1): 111-118.
- Hiam El-Desouky, Amel El-Asely, Shaheen A.A. and Abbass, A. 2012. Effects of *Zingiber officinalis* and *Cyanodon dactylon* on the Growth Performance and Immune Parameters of *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. *World Journal of Fish and Marine Sciences*, 4 (3): 301-307.

- Jagtap, N.S; Khadabadi, S.S ; Ghorpade, D.S; Banarase, N.B; Naphade, S.S. 2009. Antimicrobial and Antifungal Activity of *Centella asiatica* (L.)Urban,Umbeliferae. *Nar Research J. Pharm. and Tech.*, 2 (2).
- Jasmine Anand, Antony Akhila Thomas, Salini, M.P. 2011. Fluctuations in the microbial load at certain non-specific immune sites of *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* supplemented with *Ocimum sanctum*. *The Ecoscan.*, 1:389-392.
- Kannan, N. 2003. Hand book of Laboratory culture media, reagents, stains and buffers. Panima publishing Co. New delhi.
- Pepsi, A., Ben, C.P. and Jeeva, S. 2012. Phytochemical Analysis of Four Traditionally Important Aquatic Species. *I. Res. J. Biological Sci.*, 1(5):66-69.
- Shankar Murthy, K. and Kiran, B.R. 2013. Review on usage of medicinal plants in fish diseases. *Int J. Pharm Bio Sci.*, 4(3)(B): 975 – 986.
- Tommy, L.F., Leung and Amanda, E. Bates. 2013. More rapid and severe disease outbreaks for aquaculture at the tropics: implications for food security. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 50: 215–222.
- Trivedi, R.K. and Goel, P.K. 1984. Chemical and biochemical methods for water, pollution studies. Environmental publication, Karad. India